## Relations between linear price indices

## Peter von der Lippe (27/04/12)

## 1. Laspeyres and Paasche index; a theorem of v. Bortkiewicz

Ladislaus von Bortkiewicz (1868-1931) developed in 1923 a well known theorem according to which the difference between the price index of Laspeyres $\left(\mathrm{P}_{01}^{\mathrm{L}}\right)$ and Paasche $\left(\mathrm{P}_{01}^{\mathrm{P}}\right)$ depends on the (weighted) covariance between price relatives ( $\mathrm{p}_{1 \mathrm{i}} / \mathrm{p}_{0 \mathrm{i}}$ ) and quantity relatives $\left(\mathrm{q}_{1 \mathrm{i}} / \mathrm{q}_{0 \mathrm{i}}\right)$ of $n$ commodities. The covariance is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{x y}=\sum_{i}\left(\frac{p_{i 1}}{p_{i 0}}-P_{01}^{L}\right)\left(\frac{q_{i 1}}{q_{i 0}}-Q_{01}^{L}\right) \frac{p_{i 0} q_{i 0}}{\sum p_{i 0} q_{i 0}}=V_{01}-Q_{01}^{L} P_{01}^{L}=Q_{01}^{L} P_{01}^{\mathrm{P}}-Q_{01}^{L} P_{01}^{L} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $V_{01}$ is the value ratio (or value index) $V_{01}=\Sigma p_{1} q_{1} / \Sigma p_{0} q_{0}$ (we drop the subscript $i$ because it is clear that summation takes place over $n$ commodities) and $\mathrm{P}_{01}^{\mathrm{L}}, \mathrm{Q}_{01}^{\mathrm{L}}$ are price and quantity indices respectively of Laspeyres, while $P_{01}^{P}, Q_{01}^{P}$ are those indices according to the Paasche formula. As by definition $V_{01}=Q_{01}^{P} P_{01}^{L}=Q_{01}^{L} P_{01}^{P}$ holds we get.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{c}_{x y}=\frac{c_{x y}}{P_{01}^{\mathrm{L}} \mathrm{Q}_{01}^{\mathrm{L}}}=\frac{\mathrm{P}_{01}^{\mathrm{P}}}{\mathrm{P}_{01}^{\mathrm{L}}}-1=\frac{\mathrm{Q}_{01}^{\mathrm{P}}}{\mathrm{Q}_{01}^{\mathrm{L}}}-1 \tag{1a}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\overline{\mathrm{c}}_{\mathrm{xy}}$ is the centred covariance. The important result now is

- $\mathrm{P}_{01}^{\mathrm{P}}<\mathrm{P}_{01}^{\mathrm{L}}$ (or equivalently $\mathrm{Q}_{01}^{\mathrm{P}}<\mathrm{Q}_{01}^{\mathrm{L}}$ ) when the (centred) covariance $\mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{xy}}$ and thus also the (centred) covariance $\overline{\mathrm{c}}_{\mathrm{xy}}$ is negative and conversely (which, however, is the less frequent case empirically)
- $\mathrm{P}_{01}^{\mathrm{P}}>\mathrm{P}_{01}^{\mathrm{L}}\left(\mathrm{Q}_{01}^{\mathrm{P}}>\mathrm{Q}_{01}^{\mathrm{L}}\right)$ when price- and quantity relatives are positively correlated, that is $\mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{xy}}$ is positive (thus also $\overline{\mathrm{c}}_{\mathrm{xy}}>0$ ). ${ }^{1}$


## 2. The generalized theorem of Bortkiewicz

It can easily be seen that this result is simply a special cases of a more general theorem on two linear indices (ratios of scalar products of vectors $\mathbf{x}$ and $\mathbf{y}$ ). ${ }^{2}$ Assume

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\mathrm{X}_{0}=\overline{\mathrm{X}}=\frac{\mathbf{x}_{1}^{\prime} \mathbf{y}_{0}}{\mathbf{x}_{0}^{\prime} \mathbf{y}_{0}}=\frac{\sum \mathrm{x}_{1} \mathrm{y}_{0}}{\sum \mathrm{x}_{0} \mathrm{y}_{0}} \text { and } & \text { (2a) } \mathrm{X}_{1}=\frac{\mathbf{x}_{1}^{\prime} \mathbf{y}_{1}}{\mathbf{x}_{0}^{\prime} \mathbf{y}_{1}}=\frac{\sum \mathrm{x}_{1} \mathrm{y}_{1}}{\sum \mathrm{x}_{0} \mathrm{y}_{1}} \text { and likewise } \\
\mathrm{Y}_{0}=\overline{\mathrm{Y}}=\frac{\sum \mathrm{y}_{1} \mathrm{x}_{0}}{\sum \mathrm{y}_{0} \mathrm{x}_{0}}=\frac{\mathbf{x}_{0}^{\prime} \mathbf{y}_{1}}{\mathbf{x}_{0}^{\prime} \mathbf{y}_{0}} \text { and } & \text { (3a) } \mathrm{Y}_{1}=\frac{\mathbf{x}_{1}^{\prime} \mathbf{y}_{1}}{\mathbf{x}_{1}^{\prime} \mathbf{y}_{0}}=\frac{\sum \mathrm{x}_{1} \mathrm{y}_{1}}{\sum \mathrm{x}_{1} \mathrm{y}_{0}}
\end{array}
$$

the theorem states that the ratio of the two indices is given by

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{\mathrm{X}_{1}}{\mathrm{X}_{0}}=\frac{\mathrm{Y}_{1}}{\mathrm{Y}_{0}}=\frac{\mathbf{x}_{1}^{\prime} \mathbf{y}_{1}}{\mathbf{x}_{0}^{\prime} \mathbf{y}_{1}} \cdot \frac{\mathbf{x}_{0}^{\prime} \mathbf{y}_{0}}{\mathbf{x}_{1}^{\prime} \mathbf{y}_{0}}=1+\frac{\mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{xy}}}{\overline{\mathrm{X}} \cdot \overline{\bar{Y}}}=1+\overline{\mathrm{c}}_{\mathrm{xy}} \text { with the weighted covariance }  \tag{4}\\
& \mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{xy}}=\sum\left(\frac{\mathrm{x}_{1}}{\mathrm{x}_{0}}-\overline{\mathrm{X}}\right)\left(\frac{\mathrm{y}_{1}}{\mathrm{y}_{0}}-\overline{\mathrm{Y}}\right){\mathrm{w}_{0}}=\frac{\sum \mathrm{x}_{1} \mathrm{y}_{1}}{\sum \mathrm{x}_{0} \mathrm{y}_{0}}-\overline{\mathrm{X}} \cdot \overline{\mathrm{Y}} \tag{5}
\end{align*}
$$

[^0]Using $X_{1} / X_{0}=Y_{1} / Y_{0}$, the covariance $c_{x y}$ can also be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{xy}}=\overline{\mathrm{Y}}\left(\mathrm{X}_{1}-\overline{\mathrm{X}}\right)=\overline{\mathrm{X}}\left(\mathrm{Y}_{1}-\overline{\mathrm{Y}}\right) \tag{5a}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\bar{Y}=\sum \frac{y_{1}}{y_{0}} w_{0}$ and $\bar{X}=\sum \frac{\mathrm{x}_{1}}{\mathrm{x}_{0}} \mathrm{w}_{0}$ and weights $\mathrm{w}_{0}$ defined as $\mathrm{w}_{0}=\mathrm{x}_{0} \mathrm{y}_{0} / \sum \mathrm{x}_{0} \mathrm{y}_{0}$.
Note that $\gamma_{\mathrm{xy}}=\mathbf{x}_{1}^{\prime} \mathbf{y}_{1} / \mathbf{x}_{0}^{\prime} \mathbf{y}_{0}=\mathrm{X}_{0} \mathrm{Y}_{1}=\mathrm{Y}_{0} \mathrm{X}_{1}$ is the product-moment $\mathbf{x}_{1}^{\prime} \mathbf{y}_{1} / \mathbf{x}_{0}^{\prime} \mathbf{y}_{0}$ around the $\operatorname{origin}(0,0)$ while $\mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{xy}}=\gamma_{\mathrm{xy}}-\overline{\mathrm{X}} \cdot \overline{\mathrm{Y}}=\mathrm{X}_{0}\left(\mathrm{Y}_{1}-\mathrm{Y}_{0}\right)=\mathrm{Y}_{0}\left(\mathrm{X}_{1}-\mathrm{X}_{0}\right)$ is the central productmoment (around $\bar{X}, \bar{Y}$ ) or covariance and $\bar{c}_{x y}=\frac{\gamma_{x y}}{\bar{X} \cdot \bar{Y}}=\frac{Y_{1}}{Y_{0}}-1=\frac{X_{1}}{X_{0}}-1$ may be called centred covariance. It can easily be seen that setting vectors $\mathbf{x}_{0}=\mathbf{y}_{0}=\mathbf{1}=\left[\begin{array}{ll}1 \ldots\end{array}\right]$ eq. (5) reduces to the unweighted covariance $\frac{1}{n} \sum \mathrm{x}_{1} \mathrm{y}_{1}-\overline{\mathrm{x}}_{1} \overline{\mathrm{y}}_{1}$.

The relationship between $\mathrm{P}_{01}^{\mathrm{L}}$ and $\mathrm{P}_{01}^{\mathrm{P}}$ now emerges when the assumptions concerning price and quantity vectors of row 1 in Table 1 are made

## 3. Some applications of the theorem

Note that the theorem allows for different representations of the difference of the same two formulas in terms of covariances. $\mathrm{X}_{1} / \mathrm{X}_{0}=\mathrm{P}_{01}^{\mathrm{P}} / \mathrm{P}_{01}^{\mathrm{L}}$ or $\mathrm{Y}_{1} / \mathrm{Y}_{0}=\mathrm{P}_{01}^{\mathrm{P}} / \mathrm{P}_{01}^{\mathrm{L}}$ can also be expressed as shown in row 2 and 3 of tab. 2. The vectors ( $\mathrm{p}_{1} / \mathrm{p}_{0}$ ) and ( $\left.\mathrm{p}_{0} \mathrm{q}_{0} / \Sigma\right)$ are defined as follows

$$
\left(\mathrm{p}_{1} / \mathrm{p}_{0}\right)=\left[\begin{array}{lll}
\frac{\mathrm{p}_{11}}{\mathrm{p}_{10}} & \cdots & \frac{\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{n} 1}}{\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{n} 0}}
\end{array}\right] \text {, and }\left(\mathrm{p}_{0} \mathrm{q}_{0} / \Sigma\right)=\left[\begin{array}{lll}
\frac{\mathrm{p}_{10} \mathrm{q}_{10}}{\sum \mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{i} 0} \mathrm{q}_{\mathrm{i} 0}} & \cdots & \mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{n} 0} \mathrm{q}_{\mathrm{n} 0} \\
\sum \mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{i} 0} \mathrm{q}_{\mathrm{i} 0}
\end{array}\right],
$$

and $\left(p_{0} q_{1} / \Sigma\right)$ etc. correspondingly. The weights $w_{0}$ in the case of row 2 a as well as row 2 b are the same as in eq. 1. In fact the covariance in row 2 a is simply the covariance $\mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{xy}}$ of eq. (1) divided by $\mathrm{Q}_{01}^{\mathrm{L}}$, viz.

$$
\frac{c_{x y}}{Q_{01}^{L}}=\sum_{i}\left(\frac{p_{i 1}}{p_{i 0}}-P_{01}^{L}\right)\left(\frac{q_{i 1}}{q_{i 0}} \frac{1}{Q_{01}^{L}}-1\right) \frac{p_{i 0} q_{i 0}}{\sum p_{i 0} q_{i 0}}=P_{01}^{\mathrm{p}}-P_{01}^{\mathrm{L}},
$$

which is completely in line with (5a) since $\bar{Y}=1$ and $X_{1}=P_{01}^{P}$, and $X_{0}=\bar{X}=P_{01}^{L}$. Row $2 b$ simply amounts to $\sum_{i}\left(\frac{q_{i 1}}{q_{i 0}} \frac{1}{Q_{01}^{L}}-1\right)\left(\frac{p_{i 1}}{p_{i 0}}-P_{01}^{L}\right) \frac{p_{i 0} q_{i 0}}{\sum p_{i 0} q_{i 0}}$.
Sometimes two index functions are related via a ratio with covariances in both, numerator and denominator. For example to demonstrate how Drobisch's unit value index

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{P}_{01}^{\mathrm{DR}}=\frac{\sum \mathrm{p}_{1} \mathrm{q}_{1} / \sum \mathrm{q}_{1}}{\sum \mathrm{p}_{0} \mathrm{q}_{0} / \sum \mathrm{q}_{0}}=\frac{\widetilde{\mathrm{p}}_{1}}{\widetilde{\mathrm{p}}_{0}}=\frac{\mathbf{p}_{\mathbf{1}}^{\prime} \mathbf{q}_{1}}{\mathbf{p}_{0}^{\prime} \mathbf{q}_{0}}: \frac{\mathbf{1}^{\prime} \mathbf{q}_{1}}{\mathbf{1}^{\prime} \mathbf{q}_{0}}=\mathrm{V}_{01} / \mathrm{Q}_{01}^{\mathrm{D}} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $Q_{01}^{D}$ is the Dutot quantity index, is related to Dutot's price index

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{P}_{01}^{\mathrm{D}}=\frac{\sum \mathrm{p}_{1}}{\sum \mathrm{p}_{0}}=\frac{\overline{\mathrm{p}}_{1}}{\overline{\mathrm{p}}_{0}}=\frac{\mathbf{1}^{\prime} \mathbf{p}_{1}}{\mathbf{1}^{\prime} \mathbf{p}_{0}} \tag{6a}
\end{equation*}
$$

we need two steps (see row 3 a and 3 b ) in order to arrive at (7).

Table 1

|  | Assumptions |  |  |  | Consequences |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathbf{x}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{y}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{x}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{y}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{X}_{0}=\overline{\mathrm{X}}$ | $\mathrm{X}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{Y}_{0}=\overline{\mathrm{Y}}$ | $\mathrm{Y}_{1}$ |
| 1 | $\mathbf{p}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{q}_{0}$ | $\mathbf{p}_{1}$ | $\mathbf{q}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{P}_{01}^{\mathrm{L}}$ | $\mathrm{P}_{01}^{\mathrm{P}}$ | $\mathrm{Q}_{01}^{\mathrm{L}}$ | $\mathrm{Q}_{01}^{\mathrm{P}}$ |
| 2a | 1 | ( $\mathrm{p}_{0} \mathrm{q}_{0} / \Sigma$ ) | ( $\mathrm{p}_{1} / \mathrm{p}_{0}$ ) | $\left(\mathrm{p}_{0} \mathrm{q}_{1} / \Sigma\right)$ | $\mathrm{P}_{01}^{\mathrm{L}}$ | $\mathrm{P}_{01}^{\mathrm{P}}$ | 1 | $\mathrm{P}_{01}^{\mathrm{P}} / \mathrm{P}_{01}^{\mathrm{L}}$ |
| 2b | $\left(\mathrm{p}_{0} \mathrm{q}_{0} / \Sigma\right)$ | 1 | $\left(\mathrm{p}_{0} \mathrm{q}_{1} / \Sigma\right)$ | ( $\mathrm{p}_{1} / \mathrm{p}_{0}$ ) | 1 | $\mathrm{P}_{01}^{\mathrm{P}} / \mathrm{P}_{01}^{\mathrm{L}}$ | $\mathrm{P}_{01}^{\mathrm{L}}$ | $\mathrm{P}_{01}^{\mathrm{P}}$ |
| 3a | 1 | (1/n) | $\mathbf{p}_{1}$ | $\left(\mathrm{q}_{1} / \mathrm{\Sigma}\right)$ | $\overline{\mathrm{p}}_{1}$ | $\widetilde{\mathrm{p}}_{1}$ | 1 | $\widetilde{\mathrm{p}}_{1} / \overline{\mathrm{p}}_{1}$ |
| 3b | 1 | (1/n) | $\mathbf{p}_{0}$ | ( $\mathrm{q}_{0} / \mathbf{\Sigma}$ ) | $\overline{\mathrm{p}}_{0}$ | $\widetilde{p}_{0}$ | 1 | $\widetilde{\mathrm{p}}_{0} / \overline{\mathrm{p}}_{0}$ |
| 4 | $\mathrm{q}_{0}$ | 1 | $\mathbf{q}_{1}$ | $\mathbf{p}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{Q}_{01}^{\mathrm{D}}$ | $\mathrm{Q}_{01}^{\mathrm{P}}$ | $\ddot{\mathrm{p}}_{1}{ }^{\text {a) }}$ | $\widetilde{\mathrm{p}}_{1}$ |
| 5 | $\mathbf{p}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{q}_{0}$ | $\mathbf{p}_{1}$ | 1 | $\mathrm{P}_{01}^{\mathrm{L}}$ | $\mathrm{P}_{01}^{\text {D }}$ | $\sum \mathrm{p}_{0} / \sum \mathrm{p}_{0} \mathrm{q}_{0}{ }^{\text {b }}$ | $\sum \mathrm{p}_{1} / \sum \mathrm{p}_{1} \mathrm{q}_{0}$ |
| 6 | $\mathrm{q}_{0}$ | $\mathbf{p}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{q}_{1}$ | $\mathbf{p}_{01}$ | $\mathrm{Q}_{01}^{\mathrm{L}}$ | $\mathrm{Q}_{01}^{\mathrm{LE}}$ | c) | c) |

${ }^{\text {a) }}$ row 4: $\ddot{\mathrm{p}}_{1}=\sum \mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{i} 1}\left(\mathrm{q}_{\mathrm{i} 0} / \sum \mathrm{q}_{\mathrm{i} 0}\right)$, note that $\widetilde{\mathrm{p}}_{1} / \ddot{\mathrm{p}}_{1}=\mathrm{Q}_{01}^{\mathrm{p}} / \mathrm{Q}_{01}^{\mathrm{D}}$ (substituting $\mathbf{y}_{1}=\mathbf{p}_{1}$ by $\mathbf{y}_{1}=\mathbf{p}_{0}$ we get the corresponding relation between $\mathrm{P}^{\mathrm{DR}}$ an $\mathrm{P}^{\mathrm{P}}$ [instead of $\mathrm{P}^{\mathrm{DR}}$ and $\left.\mathrm{P}^{\mathrm{L}}\right]$ )
${ }^{\text {b) }}$ row 5 : weights are here $\mathrm{w}_{0}=\mathrm{p}_{0} \mathrm{q}_{0} / \Sigma \mathrm{p}_{0} \mathrm{q}_{0}$, and it is clear that the weighted mean of the $1 / \mathrm{q}_{0}$ terms is $\overline{\mathrm{Y}}=\sum \mathrm{p}_{0} / \sum \mathrm{p}_{0} \mathrm{q}_{0}$.
c) row 6: $\overline{\mathrm{Y}}=\mathrm{Y}_{0}$ here is given as the price index $\overline{\mathrm{Y}}=\sum \overline{\mathrm{p}}_{01} \mathrm{q}_{0} / \sum \mathrm{p}_{0} \mathrm{q}_{0}$ and $\mathrm{Y}_{1}$ by the price index $\mathrm{Y}_{1}=\sum \breve{\mathrm{p}}_{01} \mathrm{q}_{1} / \sum \mathrm{p}_{0} \mathrm{q}_{1} \quad$ index

The covariance in row $3 a$ is given by $c_{x y}^{3 a}=\sum_{i}\left(p_{i 1}-\bar{p}_{1}\right)\left(q_{i 1} q_{i 1}-1\right) \frac{1}{n}=\widetilde{p}_{1}-\bar{p}_{1}$ and quite similarly in row 3 b we have $\mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{xy}}^{3 \mathrm{~b}}=\sum_{\mathrm{i}}\left(\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{i} 0}-\overline{\mathrm{p}}_{0}\right)\left(\frac{\mathrm{q}_{\mathrm{i} 0}}{\sum \mathrm{q}_{\mathrm{i} 0}}-1\right) \frac{1}{\mathrm{n}}=\widetilde{\mathrm{p}}_{0}-\overline{\mathrm{p}}_{0}$, so that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\mathrm{P}_{01}^{\mathrm{DR}}}{\mathrm{P}_{01}^{\mathrm{D}}}=\frac{\widetilde{\mathrm{p}}_{1} / \widetilde{\mathrm{p}}_{0}}{\overline{\mathrm{p}}_{1} / \overline{\mathrm{p}}_{0}}=\frac{\widetilde{\mathrm{p}}_{1} / \overline{\mathrm{p}}_{1}}{\widetilde{\mathrm{p}}_{0} / \overline{\mathrm{p}}_{0}}=\frac{1+\overline{\mathrm{c}}_{\mathrm{xy}}^{3 \mathrm{a}}}{1+\overline{\mathrm{c}}_{\mathrm{xy}}^{3 \mathrm{~b}}} . \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using (6) and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{P}_{01}^{\mathrm{L}}=\mathrm{V}_{01} / \mathrm{Q}_{01}^{\mathrm{P}} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

allows to compare $P_{01}^{D R}$ to $P_{01}^{L}$ via comparing $Q_{01}^{D}$ to $Q_{01}^{P}$, so that
$\frac{P_{01}^{D R}}{P_{01}^{L}}=\frac{V_{01}}{Q_{01}^{D}}: \frac{V_{01}}{Q_{01}^{P}}=\frac{Q_{01}^{P}}{Q_{01}^{D}}=\frac{X_{1}}{X_{0}}$ (see above row 4 in table 1).
In a similar vein we may compare $P_{01}^{\mathrm{DR}}$ to $\mathrm{P}_{01}^{\mathrm{P}}=V_{01} / Q_{01}^{\mathrm{L}}$ via $Q_{01}^{\mathrm{D}}$ to $Q_{01}^{\mathrm{L}}$.
In row 5 the Laspeyres index $\mathrm{P}_{01}^{\mathrm{L}}$ is compared to the Drobisch index $\mathrm{P}_{01}^{\mathrm{D}}$. The relevant covariance now is between $\mathrm{p}_{1} / \mathrm{p}_{0}$ and $1 / \mathrm{q}_{0}$ with weights $\mathrm{w}_{0}=\mathrm{p}_{0} \mathrm{q}_{0} / \Sigma \mathrm{p}_{0} \mathrm{q}_{0}$. In CSW 1980, p. 18 we find a more complicated expression using two unweighted ( $\mathrm{w}_{0}=1 / \mathrm{n}$ ) covariances between $\mathrm{p}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{q}_{0}$ on the one hand (numerator) and $\mathrm{p}_{0}$ and $\mathrm{q}_{0}$ on the other hand (denominator) around
means $\overline{\mathrm{p}}_{1}$ and $\overline{\mathrm{q}}_{0}$ (or $\overline{\mathrm{p}}_{0}$ and $\overline{\mathrm{q}}_{0}$ respectively). This shows that sometimes a choice can be made between more or less succinct and elegant formulas describing virtually the same relationship between two index functions.
In v. d. Lippe (2010) we could show that in principle all formulas comparing indices on the basis of covariances as developed in Diewert and v. d. Lippe (2010) can be viewed as special cases of the generalized Bortkiewicz theorem.

Finally it can be seen how the somewhat awkward historical index of the German economist Julius Lehr (1845-1894) for two adjacent periods 0, and 1 (Lehr is often seen as the fist who explicitly advocated chain indices) defined as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{P}_{01}^{\mathrm{LE}}=\frac{\sum \mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{i} 1} \mathrm{q}_{\mathrm{il}}}{\sum \mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{i} 0} \mathrm{q}_{\mathrm{i} 0}} \cdot \frac{\sum \mathrm{q}_{\mathrm{i} i} \breve{\mathrm{p}}_{\mathrm{i}, 01}}{\sum \mathrm{q}_{\mathrm{i} 1} \breve{\mathrm{p}}_{\mathrm{i}, 01}}=\frac{\mathrm{V}_{01}}{\mathrm{Q}_{01}^{\mathrm{LE}}} \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

is related to other linear indices. In (9) $\breve{p}_{i, 12}=\frac{p_{i 0} q_{i 0}+p_{i 1} q_{i 1}}{q_{i 0}+q_{i 1}}$ so that $\frac{\breve{p}_{01}}{p_{0}}=\frac{q_{0}}{q_{0}+q_{1}}+\frac{q_{1}}{q_{0}+q_{1}} \frac{p_{1}}{p_{0}}$ is a linear transformation of price relatives $\mathrm{p}_{1} / \mathrm{p}_{0}$.
$\mathrm{P}_{01}^{\mathrm{LE}}$ now can easily be related to $\mathrm{P}_{01}^{\mathrm{L}}=\mathrm{V}_{01} / \mathrm{Q}_{01}^{\mathrm{P}}$ or to $\mathrm{P}_{01}^{\mathrm{P}}=\mathrm{V}_{01} / \mathrm{Q}_{01}^{\mathrm{L}}$. In row 6 of table 1 we study the relationship $X_{1} / X_{0}=P_{01}^{\mathrm{P}} / \mathrm{P}_{01}^{\mathrm{LE}}=\mathrm{Q}_{01}^{\mathrm{LE}} / \mathrm{Q}_{01}^{\mathrm{L}}$. Thus the relation between these two indices depends on the covariance $\sum\left(\frac{q_{1}}{q_{0}}-\bar{X}\right)\left(\frac{\breve{p}_{01}}{p_{0}}-\bar{Y}\right) \frac{p_{0} q_{0}}{\sum p_{0} q_{0}}$. Upon setting $\mathbf{y}_{0}=\mathbf{p}_{1}$ instead of $\mathbf{y}_{0}=\mathbf{p}_{0}$ we get the relationship $\mathrm{X}_{1} / \mathrm{X}_{0}=\mathrm{P}_{01}^{\mathrm{L}} / \mathrm{P}_{01}^{\mathrm{LE}}=\mathrm{Q}_{01}^{\mathrm{LE}} / \mathrm{Q}_{01}^{\mathrm{P}}$. As stated at the outset, it is generally assumed that a negative correlation between price relatives $p_{1} / p_{0}$ and quantity relatives $\mathrm{q}_{1} / \mathrm{q}_{0}$ is more likely than an positive correlation, so we can conclude that the same is true for a linear transformation of the $p_{1} / p_{0}$ (with a positive slope $q_{1} /\left(q_{0}+q_{1}\right)$ ) and the $q_{1} / q_{0}$, and from this it follows that as (generally) $\mathrm{P}_{01}^{\mathrm{P}}<\mathrm{P}_{01}^{\mathrm{L}}$. so we may also expect $\mathrm{P}_{01}^{\mathrm{P}}<\mathrm{P}_{01}^{\mathrm{LE}}<\mathrm{P}_{01}^{\mathrm{L}}$.

The paper clearly shows that we may benefit a lot from the generalized theorem of Ladislaus von Bortkiewicz which describes the relationship between any two linear index functions in terms of (weighted) covariances between certain variables such as prices or quantities or price and quantity relatives.
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[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ A negative covariance ( $\mathrm{P}^{\mathrm{P}}<\mathrm{P}^{\mathrm{L}}$ ) may arise from rational substitution among goods in response to price changes on a given (negatively sloped) demand curve. The less frequent case of a positive covariance is supposed to take place when the demand curve is shifting away from the origin (due to an increase of income for example).
    ${ }^{2}$ This is a generalized theorem of Bortkiewicz for the ratio $\mathrm{X}_{1} / \mathrm{X}_{0}$ of two linear indices. See von der Lippe (2007), pp. 194-196. The best known special case of this theorem is $\mathrm{X}_{0}=\mathrm{P}^{\mathrm{L}}$ and $\mathrm{X}_{1}=\mathrm{P}^{\mathrm{P}}$.

